



The Hidden Risks of Thematic Investing: Why Chasing AI Trends Could Hurt Your Portfolio

Artificial Intelligence investment hype is stratospheric. And for good cause: AI technology capabilities are increasing exponentially, and the stock market's performance for the past couple of years has been primarily driven by the success of a handful of tech stocks that stand to benefit from the adoption of AI. A case-in-point is Nvidia, a supplier of computer chips used in AI, whose stock has increased by 5x in two years. And there is no bigger investment theme right now than AI. This begs the question, should investors consider a thematic approach to investing?


Thematic investing is a strategy that allows investors to capitalize on macro-level or structural trends. It involves investing in themes that are broader than a single stock, sector, or index, which are the building blocks of many traditional investing strategies. These themes can range from clean energy and immunology to AI, fintech, cybersecurity, cannabis, and blockchain. The popularity of thematic funds has grown significantly as investment firms strive to identify and package secular growth themes into investable products.

Thematic funds have witnessed a surge in popularity among investors in recent years. These offerings, which allow investments aligned with investors' convictions, have become a significant part of many portfolios. However, their performance experience serves as a crucial lesson, highlighting the importance of understanding their potential pitfalls.

The following analysis from Morningstar shows how thematic and non-thematic funds performed over the five years ending 6/30/23 (blue bars) and the five-year return for investors in these funds (green bars).

The difference is then calculated to show the 'gap' or difference between the two. A negative return gap means the aggregate investor performance has been lower than the strategies' performance due to investors' poor timing.

Five-Year Total Returns, Investor Returns, and Return Gaps



Source: Morningstar Direct. "The Big Shortfall" published 11/15/23. Data as of June 30, 2023.

Two things stand out. First, thematic funds trailed non-thematic funds (8.6% vs. 7.3%), suggesting that picking the investment theme *du jour* isn't necessarily the best strategy. Second, the return 'gap' was much more significant for thematic funds than for nonthematic funds, meaning that thematic investors made poor timing choices regarding when to buy into and sell out of thematic funds. Why might this be the case? A primary reason is that thematic strategies tend to be esoteric and more volatile than traditional, diversified strategies, which makes it harder to stay invested. Additionally, thematic investors are more likely to base their investment decisions on past performance as validation that the theme is successful. Classic performance chasing.

Thematic investing, while not inherently flawed, carries significant risks. It offers investors the opportunity to align their investments with their beliefs and convictions. However, the allure of potentially high returns can lead investors to become market timers in more volatile strategies. This combination can be destructive for investment returns, underscoring the need for a more cautious approach.



Alex Bates

Director of Investment Research

ArchBridge Family Office is an independent, multi-family office and trust company that advises 65 clients on more than \$13 billion of investment assets and more than \$15 billion of total wealth. Founded in 2002, ArchBridge Family Office provides holistic, high-touch client service including customized, independent investment management and a full range of family office and fiduciary services. The firm serves a limited number of clients with substantial wealth in order to maintain very low client-to-employee ratios. Visit archbridge.com to explore how the firm manages complexity with unmatched expertise and a Family, Forward focus.