




When Everyone Gives Up: How a Magazine Article Might Have Signaled the Turning Point for Non-U.S. Stocks

On November 21, 2024, The Economist published an article titled [“Should Investors Just Give Up on Stocks Outside America?”](#) For anyone familiar with the so-called magazine indicator — the idea that mainstream publications have a knack for capturing the consensus opinion at precisely the moment it’s about to reverse — this was a compelling signal: a widely read publication codifying the view that non-U.S. equities were a lost cause at the very moment that collective view might be most vulnerable.

From that date through February 2026, the Vanguard Total International Stock Index (VXUS) posted a 46% cumulative total return, trouncing the Vanguard Total U.S. Stock Index’s (VTI) gain by roughly 28 percentage points.

**U.S. VERSUS NON-U.S. STOCKS
CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURNS FROM NOV. 21, 2024, THROUGH FEB. 28, 2026**



The magazine indicator isn't mystical. It's explainable by regression to the mean and consensus fatigue: by the time a narrative is entrenched enough to earn a prominent spot in a magazine (whether it be a cover story or a featured one), every investor who is going to act on that view already has. What's left is a reversal. The pattern has been formally studied: A 2016 Citigroup analysis examined 44 Economist covers with a strong directional view on an asset class and found they were contrarian roughly 68% of the time over the following year.

History offers some vivid examples. In August 1979, BusinessWeek published "The Death of Equities" with the Dow near 800 after a miserable decade of stagnant returns. Within a few years, stocks launched into one of the greatest bull runs in history. Two decades later, The Economist's March 1999 cover declared the world was "Drowning in Oil" with crude just above \$10 a barrel. Oil began a historic ascent that peaked near \$147 in 2008.

The Economist's late 2024 issues offered a small cluster of these signals beyond the international stocks article. "The Everything Drugs," published on October 26, 2024, chronicled how Novo Nordisk's Ozempic and Wegovy would reshape healthcare. Novo's stock has since fallen from around \$113 to roughly \$38 as of February 2026. "America's Gambling Frenzy," published on December 5, 2024, coincided with a period during which DraftKings' shares declined more than 40%. Counterarguments spring to mind—Eli Lilly has fared far better than Novo since the drugs cover, and casino operator Las Vegas Sands has held up despite other gambling stocks languishing. Individual stocks have too many idiosyncratic drivers for a magazine column to be a reliable single-stock signal.

But the international stocks article was different. It wasn't a call on a single company or sector. It captured the collective exhaustion of a decade and a half of relative underperformance. That's where the indicator tends to work best: not as a stock-picking tool, but as a barometer of positioning at the asset-class level.

Why did international stocks reverse so sharply? There's no shortage of catalysts one can point to in hindsight: A weakening dollar (which helped translate foreign returns back into more attractive U.S.-based results), fiscal stimulus across Germany and parts of Europe, surging demand for South Korean memory manufacturers amid the AI buildout, and Japanese Prime Minister Sanae Takaichi's landslide election victory and the promise of pro-growth policies for the world's fourth-largest economy.

Perhaps the simpler explanation is that the setup was just right. Non-U.S. stocks had suffered through 15 years of lackluster returns relative to their American counterparts. They were cheap by most valuation measures, unloved by investors clamoring for the returns of U.S. large caps, and—as The Economist article made plain—consensus had fully capitulated. When everyone has already given up, there's no one left to sell.

Taking a contrarian position is more of a complement than a core component of an investment strategy, and it can certainly get you into trouble if taken to extremes. But for a globally diversified portfolio—which endured a long winter of being weighed down by its non-U.S. constituents—the reversal has been significant. There's an investing adage that says, "if you don't hate part of your portfolio, you're not properly diversified." Non-U.S. stocks gave investors plenty to hate for 15 years. It's a reminder that diversification often feels the worst right before it starts working again.



Paul Ruppe

Director of Public Markets

ArchBridge Family Office is an independent, multi-family office and trust company that advises 65 clients on more than \$13 billion of investment assets and more than \$16 billion of total wealth. Founded in 2002, ArchBridge Family Office provides holistic, high-touch client service including customized, independent investment management and a full range of family office and fiduciary services. The firm serves a limited number of clients with substantial wealth in order to maintain very low client-to-employee ratios. Visit archbridge.com to explore how the firm manages complexity with unmatched expertise and a Family, Forward focus.